Diagnostic Evaluation of Anti-Tissue Transglutaminase and Anti-Endomysial Antibodies for Diagnosis of Coeliac Disease: Is There a Need for Duodenal Biopsy?
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Abstract

Background and Objectives: Although duodenal biopsy is suggested as the gold standard method for diagnosis of coeliac disease (CD), high levels of immunoglobulin A anti-tissue transglutaminase (IgA anti-TTG) followed by positivity for immunoglobulin A anti-endomyosal (IgA anti-EMA) have been used widely for the diagnosis. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that IgA anti-TTG and IgA anti-EMA tests are useful for diagnosis of CD, without need for endoscopy and duodenal biopsy. Methods: CD diagnosis was made with IgA anti-TTG >10 U/mL and IgA anti-EMA positivity in presence of villous atrophy. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed to establish the cut-off values of the IgA anti-TTG that could predict the presence of IgA anti-EMA positivity and villous atrophy from histology results. Results: The cut-off values for IgA anti-TTG that predict positivity for IgA anti-EMA (sensitivity: 89.7%; specificity: 82%; positive predictive value: 92.4%) and villous atrophy (sensitivity: 88.9%; specificity: 78.5%; positive predictive value: 92.9%) were 17 and 30 U/mL, respectively. Conclusions: Serological testing can be used with high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of CD, without biopsy and histology. This significantly improves the timeliness and effectiveness of CD diagnosis.

Introduction

Coeliac disease (CD) is a chronic gastrointestinal disorder and is the most common immuno-mediated enteropathy, reportedly affecting about 1% of the populations in Western countries. The purported causes of CD include both environmental (gluten) and genetic (human leucocyte antigen, DQ2/DQ8) factors. In CD, ingestion of gluten, a protein commonly found in wheat, rye and barley is believed to activate the immune response, with the consequent sequence of intestinal damage and villous atrophy. In addition, CD is characterized by a variety of intestinal and extra-intestinal manifestations related to the subsequent deficiency of macronutrients and micronutrients related to the disease condition.

The most frequently reported clinical signs and symptoms of classical CD include malabsorption (diarrhoea, steatorrhoea, unintentional weight loss) and of non-classical CD include anaemia, hepatic steatosis, osteopenia/osteoporosis and mouth ulcer. Untreated CD can lead to various complications that can severely affect quality of life, such as nutritional deficiencies, anaemia, osteoporosis and growth failure, as well as the possible development of other autoimmune disorders and malignancies.

Although duodenal biopsy and histology investigation has been considered as the gold standard for diagnosis of CD, there are limitations to this approach; these include availability, unpleasantness, invasiveness, artefacts due to a non-longitudinal cut, poor specificity and high cost. For diagnosis of CD, there are still questions and challenges remaining, particularly regarding who should be screened, how to screen, how to properly interpret the serologic test results and whether there is a need for further endoscopy, duodenal biopsy and/or histology investigation. In patients with suspected CD, the IgA anti-TTG test is most commonly used as the first choice test to detect the presence of antibodies, but there are also questions about its accuracy. If there is a strong and ongoing clinical suspicion of CD, IgA anti-EMA should be requested. However, there is still limited information regarding the sensitivity and specificity of IgA anti-TTG and IgA anti-EMA tests. A clearer understanding of the sensitivity and specificity of IgA anti-TTG and IgA anti-EMA will allow clinicians to better interpret test results and make a timelier and better informed diagnosis, ultimately supporting better administration of subsequent treatment. There are a number of studies suggesting that IgA anti-TTG levels are significantly and positively correlated with the severity of intestinal damage, but there are questions that remain as to whether there is a need for duodenal biopsies and histology investigations for all patients who present with positive serology results.

In this study, we sought to determine the cut-off values for IgA anti-TTG to predict positivity for IgA anti-EMA and the presence of villous atrophy and we tested the hypothesis that high sensitivity and specificity of IgA anti-TTG and IgA anti-EMA would exclude...
the need for an endoscopy and histology procedures for diagnosis of CD in adults.

**Methods**

**Study population**

A total of 13,086 consecutive adult patients (>18 years) referred from primary care from January 2012 to May 2016 with suspected CD according to presence of diarrhoea, steatorrhoea, unintentional weight loss, abdominal pain, bloating, anemia, osteopenia/osteoporosis, hepatic steatosis, mouth ulcer and family history of CD were considered for study inclusion. All subjects were tested for the first time for IgA anti-TTG. Patients with IgA anti-TTG >10 U/mL were then tested for IgA anti-EMA; none of these patients were on the gluten-free diet. Patients with positivity for both IgA anti-TTG and IgA anti-EMA underwent subsequent endoscopy with duodenal biopsies/histology. CD diagnosis was made according to the presence of villous atrophy associated with anti-TTG IgA >10 U/mL and positivity for IgA anti-EMA.

**Serology**

Serum anti-TTG IgA was analysed by immunoassay (Phadia 250; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analysis of serum IgA anti-EMA was based on the fluorescein-labelled anti-human IgA (monkey oesophagus slides; BioSystems) and visualized with the aid of a fluorescence microscope, by immunofluorescence.

**Endoscopy and histology**

Multiple endoscopic duodenal biopsies were obtained by oesophagogastroduodenoscopy. Analysis of the duodenal biopsies was carried out at the Department of Histopathology. CD was diagnosed by pathological changes of the small intestine that included intraepithelial lymphocytosis, crypt hyperplasia and subtotal or total villous atrophy.

**Statistical analysis**

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to determine the cut-off values of IgA anti-TTG to predict positivity for IgA anti-EMA and villous atrophy by assessing the area under the curve (AUC) in addition to the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive and negative predictive values. The ROC curves were constructed by plotting the sensitivity (true-positive) on the ordinate as a function of the complement of specificity (false-positive) for all possible cut-off values of the IgA anti-TTG test. Greater deviation towards the left upper corner with a high and significant AUC indicated good prediction of positive IgA anti-EMA and villous atrophy. All statistical inferences were made based on a two-sided significant level of $P<0.05$ and were performed using IBM® SPSS® Statistics version 21.0.

**Results**

Demographic characteristics, clinical symptoms, and serology and histology results of the patients with positivity for IgA anti-TTG are shown in Table 1. During the study period, 13,086 subjects were referred for suspected CD and 166 were found to be IgA anti-TTG-positive (1.3%). Out of those 166 patients, 136 (82%) were IgA anti-EMA-positive and 142 (85.5%) had villous atrophy. The mean IgA anti-TTG titre was 70.0±59.7 U/mL. The prevalence of positive IgA anti-TTG was significantly higher in females (73.4%) than males (26.5%). The most common symptoms and conditions associated with positive IgA anti-TTG and CD were abdominal pain and bloating (26.5%), anaemia (21.7%) and diarrhoea (22.3%).

ROC analysis showed that IgA anti-TTG with cut-off values of 17 U/mL (AUC=0.814 CI: 0.722–0.95, $p<0.001$) predicts positivity for IgA anti-EMA (Fig. 1) with a high sensitivity (89.7%), specificity (82.7%), positive predictive value (92.4%) and positive likelihood ratio (2.6; 1.6–4.3, $p=0.003$) predicts villous atrophy (Fig. 2) with a high sensitivity (88.9%), specificity (78.5%), positive predictive value (92.9%) and positive likelihood ratio (2.6; 1.0–4.1, $p=0.01$), as shown in Table 2.

**Discussion**

In this study, the diagnostic evaluation of serology tests for the diagnosis of CD was investigated and we also tested the hypothesis that serology tests are accurate and sensitive enough to allow for avoidance of intestinal biopsy and histology investigation with its limitations and cost. Histological examination is still considered as the gold standard method for diagnosis of CD in adults. However, there are limitations in endoscopy and histology procedures, including invasiveness, high costs and poor specificity in cases of duodenal lymphocytosis, as some histological patterns are also seen in other clinical conditions. In this study, the major clinical
conditions that were associated with positive IgA anti-TTG were abdominal pain, bloating, anaemia and diarrhoea, with other minor conditions recorded, including weight loss, osteoporosis/osteopenia, diabetes, hepatic osteitis and mouth ulcer. There are some clinical conditions, such as liver disease, rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease, that may be associated with high IgA anti-TTG and can lead to false positive findings for CD. However, with positivity for IgA anti-EMA accompanied by symptoms and risk factors associated with CD, it is more likely that the patients are suffering from CD.

ROC analysis showed that IgA anti-TTG levels at 17 U/mL predicted positivity for IgA anti-EMA, and at 30 U/mL predicted villous atrophy with high sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values. Results from this study are consistent with the previous studies that have suggested a strong relationship between IgA anti-TTG levels and small intestine histopathology and being highly specific for villous atrophy; thus, duodenal biopsy may not be required and may be avoided when IgA anti-TTG levels are 3–5 times greater than normal levels. This endoscopy-free diagnostic approach to CD could be particularly useful in situations where endoscopy is not available and where performing endoscopy would be very difficult, such as in patients who are elderly, pregnant, afflicted with Down’s syndrome or mental illness. There are additional adult and children patients who may be unable or unwilling to undergo an endoscopy. Under these circumstances, assessment of the serological assay showing high IgA anti-TTG and positivity for IgA anti-EMA can have a supportive role.

Table 2. Diagnostic evaluation of IgA anti-TTG test for the prediction of positivity for IgA anti-EMA and villous atrophy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IgA anti-EMA</th>
<th>Villous atrophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUC</strong></td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>95% CI</strong></td>
<td>0.722–0.95</td>
<td>0.580–0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA anti-TTG, U/mL</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specificity</strong></td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive predictive value</strong></td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative predictive value</strong></td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive likelihood ratio, relative risk</strong></td>
<td>2.6 (1.6–4.3)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0–4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative likelihood ratio</strong></td>
<td>0.16 (0.09–0.28)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.19–0.64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Devon, UK. Results from this study suggest that the use of a diagnostic approach based on serology results showing IgA anti-TTG levels of 17 U/mL to predict IgA anti-EMA and 30 U/mL to predict villous atrophy. Duodenal biopsy may not be required and could be avoided if IgA anti-TTG levels are 3–5 times greater than normal levels. This could have an impact on timely diagnosis of CD and significant reduction in CD diagnosis-related costs, as has been reported from previous studies and supports a cost-sparing and biopsy-free approach for diagnosis of CD. In conclusion, in adult patients with positivity for both IgA anti-EMA and IgA anti-TTG, the latter at a level of 30 U/mL, a diagnosis of CD could be reached without endoscopy and subsequent biopsy/histology. These results could contribute to improving the diagnostic work-up of CD for a significant reduction in diagnosis-related costs.
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