Effect of Autologous Bone Marrow Stem Cell Therapy in Patients with Liver Cirrhosis: A Meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Although autologous bone marrow stem cell (BMSC) transplantation is an effective treatment for liver cirrhosis, there are few reports describing the optimal delivery route and number of injected BMSCs. Methods: A literature search was conducted using PubMed, ISI Web of Science, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and EBSCO. A meta-analysis was performed to assess the effect of BMSCs on liver and coagulation function indices. Subgroup analysis was performed based on number of injected BMSCs, delivery route, and length of follow-up. Results: A total of 15 studies were selected from among 1903 potential studies for analysis. Autologous BMSC transplantation significantly improved aspartate aminotransferase, total bilirubin, albumin, prothrombin time, prothrombin activity, prothrombin concentration, Child–Pugh score, and model for end-stage liver disease. In the subgroup analysis of cell numbers, all four concentrations of BMSCs was >4 × 10^8. The subgroup analysis referring to the delivery route showed that arterial infusion increased the therapeutic effect over venous infusion. Finally, in the subgroup analysis of follow-up length, the results showed that BMSC therapy significantly improved liver function at 2 weeks after transplantation. In addition, this therapy improved coagulation 4 weeks after the transplant, with a maintenance of efficacy for up to 24 weeks. Conclusions: Autologous BMSC therapy is beneficial for liver improvement and coagulation in patients with liver cirrhosis. The therapeutic effect was generated at 2–4 weeks after transplantation. The effect lasted for 24 weeks but no more than 48 weeks. The greatest benefit to patients was observed with a 4 × 10^8 autologous BMSC transplant via the hepatic artery.


Introduction

Liver cirrhosis is a severe disease of the digestive system, associated with many complications, poor prognosis, and a high rate of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The main causes of chronic liver disease include infection by hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus, excessive alcohol consumption, primary biliary cirrhosis, and autoimmune liver disease.1 Chronic liver disease frequently progresses to liver cirrhosis, following different processes that involve liver cell degeneration and extensive necrosis.2

The key to achieving a curative effect against cirrhosis is to effectively improve the rate of regeneration of damaged liver cells and reduce the accumulation of fibrous tissues. While liver transplantation is a treatment option for those with liver cirrhosis, a multitude of contraindications, such as smoking history, alcohol abuse, and other risky lifestyle habits, combined with general donor liver shortages, exorbitant transplant costs, and other complicating factors, limit its utilization.3–5 Therefore, it is crucial at this time to develop new strategies for treatment of liver cirrhosis.

Recent clinical studies have shown that cellular therapy has the potential to enhance liver regeneration and modulate the disease course, thus representing an alternative treatment strategy to organ transplantation.6 Many recent studies have reported that autologous bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs) participate in the repair, reconstruction, and restoration of liver function.7–11 The antifibrosis effects of autologous BMSC therapy in the injured liver have been clearly demonstrated in animal models.12,13 Moreover, clinical trials have shown that autologous BMSC transfusion can quickly improve liver function, without significant side effects.10,14–16 Although many of these studies are still in the pilot stage, preliminary results have suggested that autologous BMSC transplantation is a safe and effective treatment for liver cirrhosis.5,16–18 Autologous BMSC therapy avoids the limiting factor of donor liver availability, and there is no risk of transplant rejection or surgical complications. These factors present a significant advancement over previous procedures.

However, studies of autologous BMSC therapy have revealed several limitations. First, outcomes have been extensively described in animal models, while existing data regarding adult human patients remain deficient. Secondly, there have been several published articles reporting on safety, feasibility, and therapeutic efficacy but few reports on the
delivery route and effective number of injected BMSCs. Finally, the cohort populations in these studies were very limited and therefore prone to imprecise estimates of the therapeutic effect of the therapy for liver cirrhosis. Thus, the findings from individual studies have proved inconsistent.\textsuperscript{10,14,19} To resolve these controversies and deficiencies regarding the efficacy of autologous BMSC therapy for liver cirrhosis, a systematic meta-analysis of studies with large sample sizes was conducted and is described herein. The findings will be helpful in improving treatment of liver cirrhosis as well as in providing important information for future clinical studies of cellular therapy.

Methods

Data source

We performed a literature search to identify eligible studies published on or before August 15, 2018, that explored the therapeutic effects of autologous BMSC therapy for liver cirrhosis, and using the databases of PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), ISI Web of Science (http://wokinfo.com/), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (http://community.cochrane.org/), and EBSCO (http://www.ebscohost.com/). The search terms were “liver cirrhosis” or “cirrhosis” combined with “bone marrow stem cell” or “autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell” or “BMSCs”. Moreover, we supplemented our search by screening the reference lists of all relevant studies, including original articles, reviews, and meta-analyses. References to all identified publications were entered into reference-managing software (EndNote, version X6; http://endnote.com/).

Inclusion criteria

The initial screening of titles and abstracts was independently performed by two reviewers (Chuan-Xin Wu and Deng Wang). A second screening of the full-text was conducted by the same reviewers. Then, the included studies were evaluated to determine whether they were in accordance with the cross-checking method. When necessary, disagreements were settled by reaching a consensus with a third party (Hang Sun). All studies included in this meta-analysis met the following criteria:

(1) Randomized controlled trial study or case-control study in design.
(2) Topic of autologous BMSC therapy for liver cirrhosis.
(3) Patients age >15 years.
(4) Clinical symptoms of both cases and controls available to calculate the weighted mean difference (WMD), standardized mean difference (SMD), and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI).
(5) All patients received a definitive diagnosis of cirrhosis by abdominal ultrasound and portal hypertension with abnormal serum albumin (ALB) and/or total bilirubin (TBIL) levels and/or prothrombin time (PT), platelet count $\geq 30,000/mm^3$, and were able to give informed consent.
(6) Availability of liver function parameters (levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), TBIL and ALB; PT; prothrombin activity (PTA); prothrombin concentration (PC); international normalized ratio (INR); Child-Pugh score and model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score).

Exclusion criteria

Studies that met one or more of the following exclusion criteria were rejected:

(1) Repeatedly published data.
(2) Studies of animal models rather than a general human population.
(3) Data derived from reviews and abstracts.
(4) Studies where only the results of the researchers’ analysis were included and specific data could not be extracted.
(5) Studies that involved patients who had hepatic carcinoma or other tumors.

Data extraction

Three reviewers (Chuan-Xin Wu, Deng Wang, and Ying Cai) independently extracted relevant data according to previous data extraction methods. The extraction results were evaluated by two other reviewers (Hang Sun and Ao-Ran Luo). Disagreements were resolved by discussion. The extracted data included the first author, year of publication, country of origin, type of disease, study design, sample size, dose and route of cell administration, and length of follow-up.

Quality appraisal

Methodological quality was evaluated using the Delphi criteria for quality assessment of randomized clinical trials for conducting systematic reviews\textsuperscript{20} with additional items. Items specifically important for evaluation of the curative effect of patients with cirrhosis were also added, the detailed items of Delphi are described in Table 1. Each item in this quality list had the same weight. For each publication, a quality score was calculated, where “yes” received a score of 1 point for a certain quality item and “no” and “do not know” received a score of 0 points. For scoring quality items on masking, allocation concealment, and intention-to-treat analysis, we used the recommendations of Berger et al.,\textsuperscript{21–24} with a total achievable score of 14 points. Low-quality research received a score between 0 and 7 points, while high-quality research received a score between 8 and 14 points. The quality of each study was independently assessed by four reviewers (Chuan-Xin Wu, Deng Wang, Ying Cai, and Hang Sun). Disagreements on rating were resolved through discussions among members of the research group until a consensus was reached.

Statistical analysis

The pooled WMD or SMD with corresponding 95% CIs were calculated to evaluate the curative effect of autologous BMSC transplantation in patients with cirrhosis, and the $\chi^2$ and $I^2$ indices were calculated to assess possible heterogeneity between individual studies.\textsuperscript{25} A fixed-effects model was applied to calculate the pooled WMD/SMD with its 95% CI when there was no obvious heterogeneity between studies; otherwise, the random-effects model was used.\textsuperscript{26,27} Sensitivity analyses were conducted by omitting certain studies each time, such as studies that combined BMSC transplantation with other drug therapies. Moreover, we performed subgroup analysis stratified by the number of infused BMSCs, delivery route, and length of follow-up. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and the Egger’s linear regression test.\textsuperscript{28}
Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.3 and Stata/SE software. A two-sided probability (p) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Literature search

A total of 1903 potential and relevant publications up to August 15, 2018 were systematically retrieved from the electronic databases. After screening of titles and abstracts, 32 studies were identified after excluding duplicate studies, reviews, and reports not pertinent to the effect of autologous BMSC therapy on liver cirrhosis. Among these, 17 studies were excluded after full-text screening because they did not match the inclusion criteria. Finally, 15 studies were included in this meta-analysis. A flow chart of the article selection process is shown in Fig. 1.

Therapeutic effect of autologous BMSC therapy for treatment of liver cirrhosis

A total of 15 studies, which included 750 participants (383 cases and 367 controls), were included in this meta-analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Ref</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Case/controls</th>
<th>Sex (male %)</th>
<th>Age (years, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Child-Pugh class</th>
<th>Type of infused cells and volume</th>
<th>Cell dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Patients and disease etiology, n</th>
<th>Follow-up length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salama et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>90/50</td>
<td>117 (78%)</td>
<td>50.2 ± 6.05</td>
<td>50.9 ± 7.23</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous CD34+ and CD133+ stem cells (250 mL)</td>
<td>5*10^7</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>HBV + HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyra et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>56.7 ± 9.23</td>
<td>50.0 ± 10.37</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>Autologous BMCs (20 mL)</td>
<td>(3.78 ± 2.69)*10^6</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>30 alcoholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amer et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>33 (82.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (95 ± 25 mL)</td>
<td>2 × 10^8</td>
<td>Intrasplenic or intrahepatic</td>
<td>40 HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>39/77</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>42.19 ± 10.80</td>
<td>42.22 ± 1.37</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (100–120 mL)</td>
<td>(3.4–3.8)*10^8</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>116 HBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Ansary et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>19 (76%)</td>
<td>48.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>51.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (90 mL)</td>
<td>10^6/kg</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>25 HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamadnejad et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>11/14</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>43.1 ± 17.6</td>
<td>34.6 ± 13.8</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (100 mL)</td>
<td>(1.2–2.95)*10^6</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>2 HBV + 1 HCV + 2 PBC + 9 AIH + 11 cryptogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Autologous BMDLSCs (30–40 mL)</td>
<td>(9.08 ± 1.77)*10^6</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>12 HBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>20/19</td>
<td>24 (64.9%)</td>
<td>44 ± 12</td>
<td>45 ± 10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (130–150 mL)</td>
<td>(8.45 ± 3.28)*10^6</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>39 HBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>32/15</td>
<td>29 (61.7%)</td>
<td>46.4 ± 11.6</td>
<td>47.4 ± 11.1</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MNCs (80–100 mL)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>43 HBV + 4 alcoholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>40/37</td>
<td>70 (91%)</td>
<td>51.6 ± 9.2</td>
<td>50.4 ± 8.5</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BMSCs (10 mL)</td>
<td>(3.2 ± 1.6)*10^4</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>77 HBV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Ref</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Case/controls</th>
<th>Sex (male %)</th>
<th>Age (years, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Child-Pugh class</th>
<th>Type of infused cells and volume</th>
<th>Cell dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Patients and disease etiology, n</th>
<th>Follow-up length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salama et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>117 (78%)</td>
<td>50.27 ± 6.05</td>
<td>50.9 ± 7.23</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous CD34+ and CD133+ stem cells (100 mL)</td>
<td>10^6/kg</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>40 HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Tayeb et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>49.20 ± 3.27</td>
<td>49.20 ± 3.27</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BMSCs (50 mL)</td>
<td>(0.25–1.91)* 10^8</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>20 HBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suk et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>32 (89%)</td>
<td>53.1 ± 8.7</td>
<td>53.7 ± 8.2</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BMSCs (10 mL)</td>
<td>5*10^7</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>48 alcoholic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamadnejad et al.</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>10/9</td>
<td>12 (63%)</td>
<td>43.90 ± 14.84</td>
<td>46.22 ± 15.31</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BMSCs (20 mL)</td>
<td>(7.62 ± 5.53)* 10^8</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>8 AIH + 1 PBC + 10 cryptogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>42/42</td>
<td>49 (58%)</td>
<td>49 ± 11</td>
<td>50 ± 10</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>Autologous BM-MSCs (80 mL)</td>
<td>10^6/kg</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>84 HBV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AIH, autoimmune hepatitis; BMCs, bone marrow cells; BMDLSCs, bone marrow-derived liver stem cells; BMSCs, bone marrow stem cells; BM-MNCs, bone marrow mononuclear cells; BM-MSCs, bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; HA, hepatic artery; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; PBC, primary biliary cirrhosis; PV, portal vein or peripheral vein; RCT, randomized controlled trial.
to explore the therapeutic effect of autologous BMSC therapy for treatment of liver cirrhosis. After injection of autologous BMSCs, most liver function and coagulation indices were significantly improved, except for ALT and INR. (ALT: SMD, −0.76 to −0.41, p < 0.0001; ALB: SMD, 0.62, 95% CI, 0.22 to 1.02, p = 0.002; PT: WMD, −2.53, 95% CI, −4.27 to −0.79, p = 0.004; PTA: WMD, 4.12, 95% CI, 0.28 to 7.96, p = 0.04; PC: WMD, 16.24, 95% CI, 11.30 to 21.19, p < 0.0001; INR: WMD, −0.05, 95% CI, −0.24, 0.13, p = 0.57; Child-Pugh score: WMD, −0.80, 95% CI, −1.52 to −0.09, p = 0.03; MELD: WMD, −1.80, 95% CI, −2.97 to −0.64, p = 0.02). To identify factors related to the efficacy of autologous BMSC therapy, subgroup meta-analyses were conducted to analyze the effect of the number of cells injected, the infusion route, and the follow-up time on efficacy.

**Subgroup meta-analyses of number of injected BMSCs**

Based on the studies analyzed, the number of BMSCs injected was separated into three groups: BMSCs ≤5 × 10⁵; 5 × 10⁵ < BMSCs ≤4 × 10⁶; BMSCs > 4 × 10⁶. ALT, TBIL, ALB, and MELD were chosen as the evaluation indices because the others lacked literature support. The results indicated that when BMSCs > 4 × 10⁶, all of the four indices were significantly improved compared to the control group (ALT: SMD, −0.8, 95% CI, −1.46 to −0.15, p = 0.02; TBIL: SMD, −0.62, 95% CI, −0.96 to −0.28, p = 0.0003; ALB: SMD, 0.64, 95% CI, 0.19 to 1.09, p = 0.02; MELD: WMD, −1.39, 95% CI, −2.70 to −0.07, p < 0.0001). The data are shown in Fig. 2.

**Subgroup meta-analyses of delivery route**

Of the 15 studies examined, 14 clearly indicated that the transfusion route was either arterial or venous, with the exception of Amer et al. where BMSCs were directly injected into the liver or spleen. Therefore, a subgroup analysis was performed based on differences in transfusion route. AST, TBIL, ALB, and MELD were selected as the evaluation indices. Compared with the control group, all of the four indices in the hepatic arterial group were significantly increased (AST: SMD, −0.86, 95% CI, −1.29 to −0.43, p = 0.0001; TBIL: SMD, −0.47, 95% CI, −0.67 to −0.27, p < 0.0001; ALB: SMD, 0.59, 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.79, p < 0.0001; MELD: WMD, −2.4, 95% CI, −3.31 to −1.48, p < 0.0001). However, only the TBIL level was significantly increased in the portal or peripheral vein group (SMD, −0.97, 95% CI, −1.34 to −0.6, p < 0.0001). The data are shown in Fig. 3.

**Subgroup meta-analyses of follow-up length**

To explore the efficacy of BMSC therapy, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 weeks were chosen as the time points to perform subgroup

---

**Table 3. Risk of bias of included trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Ref</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>Withdrawals and dropouts</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
<th>Quality criteria not fulfilled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salama et al.²⁹</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyra et al.¹⁴</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amer et al.¹⁶</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al.¹⁵</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Ansary et al.³⁰</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamadnejad et al.³²</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao et al.³¹</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al.¹⁷</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al.³⁴</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.³³</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salama et al.³⁵</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Tayeb et al.³⁶</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>a, i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suk et al.³⁸</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamadnejad et al.³⁷</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al.³⁹</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>i, l, m, n</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No*, the study got 14 “Yes” in the risk test.
Abbreviation: RCT, randomized controlled trial.
analyses. The results showed that after injection of autologous BMSCs, liver function significantly improved at 2 weeks. In addition, coagulation function significantly improved at 4 weeks, and the efficacy could be maintained for 24 weeks. Three studies carried out observation for up to 48 weeks. The results indicated that ALB did not show an improvement compared to controls (SMD, $−0.00$, 95% CI, $−1.29$ to $1.29$, $p = 1.00$). The other indices could not be analyzed because of an insufficient number of studies. The data are shown in Fig. 4.

**Side effects**

There were no significant adverse effects after autologous BMSC transfusion. There were no serious side effects or complications observed in short-term or long-term follow-up in 12 reports, which included 264 cases. However, in the study by Mohamadnejad et al.,$^{32}$ which included 14 patients, 3 in the autologous BMSCs group died of liver failure after cellular infusion. The clinical study by Lyra et al.,$^{14}$ which included 15 patients, reported that 2 experienced mild pain at the sites of bone marrow puncture. There were no other complications or specific side effects related to the infusion.

In four reports, by Amer et al.,$^{16}$ Xu et al.,$^{17}$ Salama et al.,$^{29}$ and Liu et al.,$^{33}$ which included 30 patients in the autologous BMSCs groups, fever was observed within 24 h. In summary, there were no significant side effects following autologous BMSC therapy in the treatment of chronic liver disease.

**Sensitivity analysis**

Sensitivity analyses were conducted by sequentially omitting individual studies and performing comparisons between the results of pooled WMD/SMD for the random and fixed effects models. We found no material changes in any liver function indices under some conditions, while the indicators for heterogeneity were reduced.

**Publication bias**

Publication bias among the included studies was assessed using the Begg’s and Egger’s tests, as these tests are often used to provide evidence of publication bias. There was no obvious asymmetry among the risk factors. TBIL is an important laboratory parameter to evaluate improvement in liver function, thus a representative funnel plot for TBIL is shown in Fig. 5.

**Discussion**

Autologous BMSC therapy is less expensive and relatively easier to administer than liver transplantation, and results in a lower rate of transplant rejection and surgical complications. The results of several uncontrolled studies suggested that infusion of autologous BMSCs might transiently improve liver function in some patients with cirrhosis.$^{30,40−42}$ Existing meta-analyses of BMSC therapy for liver cirrhosis are insufficient in regard to their included studies, and only a single

![Fig. 2. Forest plot of the number of injected BMSCs. Red indicates significant improvement compared with the control group; blue indicates no significant improvement. In the group of BMSCs $5 \times 10^7$, TBIL, ALB and MELD were significantly improved ($p < 0.05$). In the group of $5 \times 10^7 < $ BMSCs $< 4 \times 10^8$, only MELD was significantly improved ($p < 0.05$). In the group of BMSCs $> 4 \times 10^8$, ALT, TBIL, ALB and MELD were significantly improved ($p < 0.05$). Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMSCs, bone marrow stem cells; F, fixed effects model; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; Phet, $p$ value of heterogeneity; R, random effects models; TBIL, total bilirubin.](image)
subgroup analysis has been performed. This is limited to a study of the changes of liver and coagulation function at different follow-up times.43,44 However, our study included more publications than previous studies, with a larger sample size and more comprehensive and reliable analysis results.

In terms of subgroup analysis, we also performed subgroup analysis of the number of injected BMSCs and delivery route, and discussed their impact on efficacy. Therefore, this study fills the gaps in previous studies and provides more robust and accurate statistical evidence to evaluate the efficacy of autologous BMSC therapy for treatment of cirrhosis. Because meta-analyses have larger sample sizes, differences caused by random errors are reduced and test efficiency is improved. Furthermore, such analyses are helpful to clarify current controversies and provide the best evidence for clinical practice.

Our meta-analysis of 15 studies included 383 patients who underwent autologous BMSC therapy and 367 controls. The results showed that the indicators of liver and coagulation function except for ALT and INR might be significantly improved after autologous BMSC therapy, which was consistent with the reports of Ma et al.44 In this study, BMSC therapy did not improve the function of ALT and INR. The reason may be that only some of the 15 studies we included observed changes of ALT and INR, and the results were different in different studies. Therefore, the current analysis results can only be used as a reference, and more studies with larger samples are needed. Perhaps ALT and INR will be significantly improved when more studies are included.

Of the 15 selected articles, 14 indicated the number of cells injected, but the reasons for choosing the numbers were not given in these articles. The search intended to explore through subgroup analysis whether the number of cells injected affected the therapeutic effect. The results showed that when BMSCs $>$ $4 \times 10^8$, all indices were significantly improved compared to the control group, but the other two groups (BMSCs $\leq 5 \times 10^7$ and $5 \times 10^7 < $ BMSCs $\leq 4 \times 10^8$) had no such effect. This finding suggested that the number of cells injected was an important factor influencing the efficacy of autologous BMSC therapies. In this study, we found that BMSCs $>$ $4 \times 10^8$ were more beneficial to patients. At present, there are few clinical trials that have investigated the relationship between efficacy and BMSC number, thus more clinical trial results are needed to verify this conclusion.

Regarding the route of infusion, most clinical trials chose intravenous infusion or arterial infusion. Although intravenous infusion is simple to perform and manage, arterial infusion contributes to homing of marrow stem cells to avoid phagocytosis by reticuloendothelial cells.45 The results showed that all four indices were significantly improved in the arterial injection group; however, only the TBIL was improved in the intravenous injection group compared to controls. Arterial infusion increased the beneficial therapeutic effect. This is consistent with the findings from a study by Zhao et al.44–46 Kwak et al.47 reported that the improvement of liver function decreased with time. It was further observed that the duration of liver function improved after autologous BMSC therapy. The results showed that after injection of autologous BMSCs, liver function significantly improved at 2 weeks, coagulation function significantly improved at 4 weeks, and the efficacy could be maintained for 24 weeks. Therefore, it was speculated that the efficacy of BMSC therapy was no more than 48 weeks. However, this conclusion requires more long-term follow-up clinical trial results to substantiate.
These data raised the question of whether 48 weeks would be an appropriate time point for a second infusion of autologous BMSCs. In a study by Suk et al., the authors compared the efficacy of BMSC treatment between one-time and two-time injections. The second injection took place at 30 days after the first one, with a total of $5 \times 10^7$ BMSCs injected per treatment. The results showed that there was no significant difference between the two groups. According to our analysis, the time chosen by the author for the second treatment was still within the effective range of the first treatment, therefore no better outcome was achieved.

It is also important to mention that heterogeneity existed in our study. For liver function tests, significant heterogeneity of some indicators was detected in the combination studies. One study assessed a combination of lamivudine, adefovir dipivoxil, and autologous BMSC transplantation in the treatment group, while a second evaluated reduced glutathione, glycyr rhizin, ademetionine, and human serum albumin administered to both the BMSC and control groups, which may have added to the heterogeneity. However, this heterogeneity was effectively decreased in sensitivity analyses after omitting studies with small sample sizes. We carried out three different subgroup analyses, and found that the heterogeneity of some indicators was significantly reduced, so the number of cells injected, the infusion route, and the follow-up time could influence heterogeneity. Therefore, it appears as though the above-mentioned factors contributed to overall heterogeneity.

There were several limitations to this study that may have affected the results. First, only four databases were explored. Relevant articles published in other databases, as well as unpublished studies, may have been overlooked. Lack of a complete repertoire of manuscripts may have altered our results. Second, there may have been clinical heterogeneity between studies. For example, we had strict enrollment criteria of references which included studies that were conducted in different countries. As such, the diagnostic methods, such as abdominal ultrasound and liver biopsy, and the basic condition of eligible patients may have varied greatly. Also, these factors may have contributed to greater heterogeneity between the included studies. Third, some

---

**Fig. 4. Forest plot of the length of follow-up.** Red indicates significant improvement compared with the control group; blue indicates no significant improvement. Liver function indices, such as ALB and MELD, were significantly improved at 2 weeks and maintained for 24 weeks ($p < 0.05$). Coagulation function indices, such as PT and PC, were significantly improved at 4 weeks and maintained for 24 weeks ($p < 0.05$). ALB was non-significantly improved at 48 weeks ($p > 0.05$).

Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; F, fixed effects model; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; PC, prothrombin concentration; PT, prothrombin time; PTA, prothrombin activity; Phet, $p$ value of heterogeneity; R, random effects models; TBIL, total bilirubin.
Fig. 5. A Begg’s plot of TBIL. The horizontal line in the funnel plot indicates the fixed effects summary estimate, while the sloping lines indicate the expected 95% confidence intervals for a given standard error, assuming no heterogeneity between studies. No publication bias was observed among studies using Egger’s ($p = 0.91$) test, which suggested that there was no evidence of publication bias. Abbreviation: TBIL, total bilirubin.

studies had small patient cohorts, which may have affected the statistical significance of the publication bias. Although publication bias in the meta-analysis according to the Egger’s test was not significant, there was relatively little bias in the summary effect size estimate; thus, these test results must be interpreted with caution. Due to the limitation of the literature, no subgroup analysis of different etiologies of liver cirrhosis and BMSC cell types was carried out in this study. All these need further research in the future.

At present, clinical trials of BMSC therapy for liver cirrhosis have been carried out at home and abroad. Extraction, expansion and transplantation of stem cells can be carried out in large general hospitals without major technical difficulties. The main problem is that there is no unified standard therapy process at present, such as the number of injected BMSCs, delivery route, the time and frequency of infusion, and so on. In addition, the current research mainly relies on the changes of liver and coagulation function to evaluate the curative effect, which is relatively limited. It is hoped that there will be more judgment indices to evaluate the curative effect comprehensively.

Conclusions

The findings of this meta-analysis indicated that autologous BMSC therapy may be beneficial to improve liver and coagulation function in patients with liver cirrhosis. The therapeutic effect was generated at 2–4 weeks after transplantation, and lasted for 24 weeks. However, the effects lasted no more than 48 weeks. There were few symptoms and no serious side effects or complications among patients after the 48-week follow-up period. It was shown that $4 \times 10^5$ autologous BMSC transplant via the hepatic artery was more beneficial to patients. In the future, larger multicenter, randomized, controlled and double-blinded studies are needed to substantiate the findings of this meta-analysis and to design new protocols to prolong the therapeutic effects.
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