The Reliability of Fibro-test in Staging Orthotopic Liver Transplant Recipients with Recurrent Hepatitis C

Panagiotis Trilianos, Adamantios Tsangaris, Augustine Tawadros, Vrushak Deshpande and Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos*

Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, University Hospital, Rutgers - New Jersey Medical School, NJ, USA

Abstract

Background and Aims: Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for staging of chronic liver disease following orthotopic liver transplantation. Noninvasive assessment of fibrosis with Fibro-test (FT) is well-studied in immunocompetent populations with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. The aim of this study is to investigate the diagnostic value of FT in the assessment of hepatic fibrosis in the allografts of liver transplant recipients with evidence of recurrent hepatitis C. Methods: We retrospectively compared liver biopsies and FT performed within a median of 1 month of each other in orthotopic liver transplantation recipients with recurrent hepatitis C. Results: The study population comprised 22 patients, most of them male (19/22), and with median age of 62 years. For all patients, there was at least a one-stage difference in fibrosis as assessed by liver biopsy compared to FT, while for the majority (16/22) there was at least a two-stage difference. The absence of correlation between the two modalities was statistically demonstrated (Mann-Whitney U test, p = 0.01). In detecting significant fibrosis (a METAVIR stage of F2 and above), an FT cut-off of 0.5 showed moderate sensitivity (77%) and negative predictive value (80%), but suboptimal specificity (61%) and positive predictive value (58%). Conclusions: In post-transplant patients with recurrent hepatitis C, FT appears to be inaccurately assessing the degree of allograft fibrosis, therefore limiting its reliability as a staging tool.


Methods

Retrospective review of charts of adult OLT recipients followed in our institution between the years of 2003 and 2016 was conducted. Criteria for enrollment included patients transplanted for end-stage liver disease due to chronic hepatitis C infection, with recurrence of viremia in the post-transplant period associated with histologically typical hepatic necroinflammation on LB (obtained for staging purposes), in the absence of a concurrent cause of liver injury, such as cellular rejection, hepato-vascular complications, biliary strictures, and de novo liver disease (e.g., nonalcoholic fatty liver disease or autoimmune hepatitis). Patients with moderate hepatitis (alanine aminotransferase >200 IU/mL), significant cholestasis (alkaline phosphatase or gamma-glutamyl transverse >1.5x the upper limit of normal), decompensated liver...
Liver biopsy is still accepted as the definitive method to stage liver disease in the pre- and post-OLT setting, despite its well-documented shortcomings. Protocol biopsies are no longer the norm, although they do have proponents. Non-invasive assessment of fibrosis through (direct or indirect) serum markers or elastographic techniques (with or without concurrent imaging) has been gaining traction over the last 15 years. Simple, point-of-care clinical tools have been developed to compare LB to FT results. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of FT for any or significant fibrosis (as defined by a METAVIR score of F2 and above) were calculated. The diagnostic value of FT for the detection of significant fibrosis was further assessed by plotting the receiver operating curve (ROC). All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 22 patients met the study criteria, including 19 men and 3 women, mostly Caucasian (54%), with median age of 62 years. Infection with HCV genotype 1 was most prevalent (18/22, 81.8%). The most frequently used immunosuppressive agents were mycophenolate mofetil (82%) and tacrolimus (77%), often in combination (64%). Median time elapsed from OLT to LB was 45 months, while median chronological distance of FT from LB was 1 month. Median length of biopsy specimens acquired was 15 mm. More than one-third of the study population had no histologic evidence of fibrosis (9/22, 41%). Mild fibrosis was seen in 8 patients (18%), moderate fibrosis in 8 (36%), and 1 patient had advanced fibrosis (5%). No patient was cirrhotic per LB.

There was at least a one-stage difference in fibrosis stage as assessed by LB versus that of FT in all patients; a two-stage difference was noted in 16 patients (73%), and in 4 patients LB and FT were grossly discrepant. Mann-Whitney U test further confirmed the discrepancy between modalities (ρ = 0.01). In detecting any fibrosis (METAVIR F1 and above), FT was 100% sensitive. In detecting significant fibrosis (METAVIR F2 and above), an FT cut-off of 0.5 showed a sensitivity of 77%, a specificity of 61%, a positive predictive value of 58% and negative predictive value of 80%. A ROC curve was plotted, reflecting the overall performance (Fig. 1). As we only had one patient with biopsy-proven advanced fibrosis and none with cirrhosis, we deferred measuring the respective values for detecting advanced stages of liver disease.

Discussion

Historical evidence demonstrates that the course of hepatitis C tends to be more accelerated following liver transplantation, with histologic hepatitis found in the majority of allografts within 1 year and cirrhosis developing in up to 40% of patients within 5 years. There is no denying that the use of DAAs in liver recipients with RHC or even kidney recipients with de novo HCV infection has largely mitigated this problem, enabling timely, safe and cost-effective viral eradication. However, data on the utilization of DAAs post-transplant are limited when compared to the abundance of large and small, prospective and retrospective studies in immunocompetent patients. The introduction of all-oral, interferon-free DAAs into routine clinical practice is relatively recent (sofosbuvir/ledipasvir attained Federal Drug Administration approval in October of 2014, with others following suit), and as such there is paucity of robust data on the SVR rates in DAA-and more specifically the NSSA-experienced OLT recipients with RHC. This is reflected in large clinical trials, where participants were either treatment-naïve or had received interferon- or sofosbuvir-based regimens. The majority were also genotype-1 infected. The issues of drug-drug interactions, varying degrees of concomitant renal insufficiency, and rarely acute rejection also come into consideration in the post-transplant setting, making the treatment of RHC more challenging. What is more, sustained virological response rates appear to be lower in simultaneous liver-kidney transplant recipients. As such, until a universally accepted, streamlined, 100% effective and caveat-free regimen is found to treat RHC in liver recipients, there will be a need to monitor disease progression in those few who fail or do not tolerate our current options.

Liver biopsy is still accepted as the definitive method to stage liver disease in the pre- and post-OLT setting, despite its well-documented shortcomings. Protocol biopsies are no longer the norm, although they do have proponents. Non-invasive assessment of fibrosis through (direct or indirect) serum markers or elastographic techniques (with or without concurrent imaging) has been gaining traction over the last 15 years. Simple, point-of-care clinical tools have been developed to compare LB to FT results. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of FT for any or significant fibrosis (as defined by a METAVIR score of F2 and above) were calculated. The diagnostic value of FT for the detection of significant fibrosis was further assessed by plotting the receiver operating curve (ROC). All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Fig. 1. Diagnostic accuracy of FT as determined by area under the curve (AUROC = 0.647).
extensively studied and validated in viral hepatitis.\textsuperscript{22,23} Among proprietary tests, the Fibro Test (BioPredictive Paris, France) is now widely used in clinical practice as part of the pretreatment evaluation of HCV infection.\textsuperscript{12,13,24,25} Moreover, FT is well-validated in immunocompetent HCV-infected patients\textsuperscript{13,26} but the data in the post-transplant population are decidedly mixed.

The largest study to research the utility of FT in the post-transplant setting was the one by Beckebaum \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{27} comprising a population of 157 OLT recipients, a third of whom had RHC. In the HCV group, FT performed best, yielding an area under the ROC curve of 0.79 for the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis and 0.81 for cirrhosis, and it was less accurate in the non-HCV group, with area under the ROC curve values of 0.70 and 0.75 respectively. Of note, the diagnostic value of FT in detecting moderate necroinflammation (grade A2 and above) in patients with RHC appeared to be very limited, with an area under the ROC curve of only 0.60. In contrast, vibration-controlled transient elastography (VCTE) was found to be the most accurate noninvasive test in establishing advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis in both HCV and non-HCV OLT recipients.

Earlier, the Bologna Liver Transplantation Group\textsuperscript{28} had also evaluated the utility serologic markers (among them, FT) and VCTE in detecting significant fibrosis (defined as a METAVIR score of F2 and above) in patients with RHC following liver transplantation. FT performed extremely poorly in this setting, with a cut-off of 0.8 yielding a sensitivity of 56\% and a specificity of 61\%, and an overall area under the ROC curve of 0.56. Elastography performed excellently and was found to be superior to other noninvasive testing procedures (area under the ROC curve of 0.94). Notably, parameters to calculate the FT score were available for only a subgroup of the total population (36 out of 56 patients) and only a minority of those had advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis (n = 5); therefore, conclusions on the diagnostic value of FT in detecting late stages of fibrosis cannot be drawn from this study.

The accuracy of FT has also been investigated in the setting of renal transplantation. In a 2009 French study\textsuperscript{29} including 26 HCV-infected kidney recipients, FT correctly classified 80\% of patients with no or mild fibrosis (F0–F1) performed similarly to transient elastography. However, the sensitivity and accuracy of FT in detecting advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis were rather dismal (sensitivity of –31\%, area under the ROC curve of 0.55).

A 2010 meta-analysis by Cholongitas \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{30} found VCTE to be superior to serologic markers, including FT, in the assessment of significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in OLT recipients with RHC; although, it should be noted that only one study\textsuperscript{30} evaluating FT was included. Most recently, the ability of FT to discriminate between the presence and absence of significant fibrosis (as defined by an Ishak score of >3) was investigated in an Italian study including 51 liver recipients with RHC;\textsuperscript{31} a FT cut-off value of 0.716 had a positive predictive value of 58\% but a negative predictive value of almost 94\% for significant fibrosis, while the overall accuracy of FT (area under the ROC curve of 0.848) was second only to acoustic radiation forced imaging and superior to all other chemistry-based indices. Combination of both acoustic radiation forced imaging and FT appeared to offer no additional benefit.

Our investigation reached a negative conclusion regarding the utility of FT in liver recipients with RHC. In a cohort of 22 OLT recipients with RHC, FT was able to detect the presence of any fibrosis but consistently and oftentimes grossly overestimated its degree. We applied strict selection criteria to reasonably exclude patients with co-existing non-HCV-related liver injury or severe hepatic necroinflammation, either of which would confound the interpretation of FT. By the same token, the risk of selection bias is acknowledged. The mean chronological distance between FT and biopsy was 1.5 months, making their acquisition practically concurrent and therefore eliminating the possibility of any meaningful fibrosis progression in the time elapsed from one to the other. Fibro Test was also obtained either prior to or well after antiviral treatment, as improvement of biochemical parameters during treatment could also potentially affect its reliability. The small number of patients is our foremost limitation. Among them, only one had biopsy-proven advanced fibrosis and none had cirrhosis. Therefore, the utility of FT in detecting advanced stages of liver disease in post-transplant patients with RHC could not be assessed in the present study.

Conclusions

Contrary to immunocompetent HCV-infected patients, FT appears to significantly over-stage OLT recipients with RHC and therefore its diagnostic value as a non-invasive test for the assessment of fibrosis is questionable. This may be explained by the reduction of apo-lipoprotein A1 levels, a component of FT, by calcineurin inhibitors.\textsuperscript{32,33} Similar to the findings in immunocompetent patients, elastography appears to be superior,\textsuperscript{28–30,34,35} though a universally accepted noninvasive strategy to detect hepatic fibrosis in the post-transplant setting has yet to be established.
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