Macrophage Phenotypes and Hepatitis B Virus Infection
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Abstract

Globally, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and its related liver diseases account for 780,000 deaths every year. Outcomes of HBV infection depend on the interaction between the virus and host immune system. It is becoming increasingly apparent that Kupffer cells (KCs), the largest population of resident and monocyte-derived macrophages in the liver, contribute to HBV infection in various aspects. These cells play an important role not only in the anti-HBV immunity including virus recognition, cytokine production to directly inhibit viral replication and recruitment and activation of other immune cells involved in virus clearance but also in HBV outcome and progression, such as persistent infection and development of end-stage liver diseases. Since liver macrophages play multiple roles in HBV infection, they are directly targeted by HBV to benefit its life cycle. In the present review, we briefly outline the current advances of research of macrophages, especially the studies of their phenotypes, in chronic HBV infection.


Introduction

As a major world health problem, hepatitis B virus (HBV) infects 257 million people, representing about 3% of the world’s population (https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b). While approximately 95% of HBV infections acquired during adulthood are resolved, the virus cannot be cleared in most infants infected in perinatal period or early childhood.1 It is widely accepted that the virus-host interaction, which is affected by age, transmission route, immune status and other factors, determines the outcome of infection.2,3

Both adaptive and innate immunity are involved in anti-HBV immune response. On one hand, antigen-presenting cells (APCs), including macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), initialize the virus-specific adaptive immunity characterized by activation of T helper lymphocytes and secretion of various cytokines, which then mobilize the cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) to kill the HBV-infected cells. Additionally, HBV-specific antibodies are developed by the humoral immune system to neutralize the virus and facilitate its clearance.4 On the other hand, the essential role of non-specific defense, especially the function of the liver macrophages (i.e. KCs), has gained growing attention (reviewed in Faure-Dupuy et al.5), albeit the precise mechanism remains incompletely elucidated because of the difficulty in identifying asymptomatic early infections in human studies.6 Unlike hepatitis C virus (HCV), HBV was once considered as a “stealth virus”, due to the fact that HBV could not induce significant innate immune response in an acute HBV-infected chimpanzee model.7 Limited evidence from clinical study8 also showed that no intense cytokine storm, such as type I interferon (IFN) and type III IFN production, occurs in patients with acute HBV infection. Nevertheless, one typical characteristic of HBV infection is macrophage hyperplasia in the liver,9,10 suggesting an important role of macrophages in HBV pathogenesis. It has been demonstrated that some effector molecules, such as interleukin (IL)-6,11 were produced by KCs to replace IFNs to control HBV infection.11 Another interesting study12 showed that HBV DNA in the liver and blood were cleared before the adaptive immune response was elicited, indicating that innate immune response is much more than a simple branch to control virus invasion until onset of the adaptive response.

Herein, we will review the effects of liver macrophages on HBV infection, focusing on macrophage phenotypes in HBV persistent infections.

Macrophages: Functions and phenotypes

In the healthy liver, the compartment of liver macrophages is dichotomic, involving tissue-resident macrophages (i.e. KCs) and monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs). KCs, as well as the liver DCs and sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), are mainly localized in the sinusoids of the liver and they form the
Do liver macrophages sense HBV infection?

First of all, although the exact interaction between liver macrophages and HBV is still unclear, nonhepatic cell surface presentations of molecules interacting with PreS or hepatitis B core antigen have been documented. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), the monocyctic cell line THP-1 and U937 were reported to express the PreS-binding receptor of HBV. Lipoprotein lipase (LPL), which can bind to receptor(s), like the Toll-like receptor (TLR)2 and heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG), on THP-1 macrophages (i.e. KCs and MDMs) are very plastic and no specific marker is used to discriminate KCs from MDMs in humans. As an important component of innate immunity, liver macrophages can function as: 1) phagocytes to remove dead cells, debris and pathogens; 2) effective APCs, with their expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and co-stimulatory molecules; 3) immune mediators involved in immune suppression and allograft tolerance of liver; and, 4) key players in rapid erythrocyte removal and iron recycling (mainly the MDMs).

Polarization, which is equally vague as activation, is indispensable before the macrophages achieve their different functions. Generally speaking, macrophages can be polarized into two major subsets with different combinations of stimuli: M1 macrophages of classical activation, which induce inflammation and cause tissue damage by facilitating Th1 response, and M2 macrophages of alternative activation, which maintain tissue integrity by promoting the Type 2 T helper cell response (Fig. 1). M1 and M2 type cytokines or surface markers are referred to, to differentiate different macrophage activation phenotypes. There are other subsets, such as M2a, M2b, M2c, etc. What has been overlooked, however, is that polarization is a process which changes continuously and various mixtures of M1 and M2 type macrophages may result in confusion. As a matter of fact, much more effort is required to define the criteria for assessing phenotypes. However, for the rest of this review, we will discuss the association between macrophages and HBV infection on the basis of the current understanding of M1/M2 type macrophages.

**Fig. 1. Characteristic products and functions of M1 and M2 macrophages.** Macrophages can metabolize arginine with the inducible nitric oxide synthase enzyme into nitric oxide and citrulline or with arginase into ornithine and urea which is the biochemical basis of the M1 or M2 macrophage responses, respectively (a). M1- or M2-dominant macrophages stimulate the Type 1 T helper cell or Type 2 T helper cell responses (b). Also shown are the major molecules involved, including cell surface molecules, cytokines, chemokines and so on, which are closely associated with the M1 or M2 phenotypes (c). Phagocytosis and pinocytosis are general properties of macrophages, which are not dependent on M1 or M2 type responses (d).

first line of defense to diverse antigens and toxic components contained in portal venous blood. In fact, the liver macrophages (i.e. KCs and MDMs) are very plastic and no specific marker is used to discriminate KCs from MDMs in humans. As an important component of innate immunity, liver macrophages can function as: 1) phagocytes to remove dead cells, debris and pathogens; 2) effective APCs, with their expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and co-stimulatory molecules; 3) immune mediators involved in immune suppression and allograft tolerance of liver; and, 4) key players in rapid erythrocyte removal and iron recycling (mainly the MDMs).6
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Polarization, which is equally vague as activation, is indispensable before the macrophages achieve their different functions. Generally speaking, macrophages can be polarized into two major subsets with different combinations of stimuli: M1 macrophages of classical activation, which induce inflammation and cause tissue damage by facilitating Th1 response, and M2 macrophages of alternative activation, which maintain tissue integrity by promoting the Type 2 T helper cell response (Fig. 1). M1 and M2 type cytokines or surface markers are referred to, to differentiate different macrophage activation phenotypes. There are other subsets, such as M2a, M2b, M2c, etc. What has been overlooked, however, is that polarization is a process which changes continuously and various mixtures of M1 and M2 type macrophages may result in confusion. As a matter of fact, much more effort is required to define the criteria for assessing phenotypes. However, for the rest of this review, we will discuss the association between macrophages and HBV infection on the basis of the current understanding of M1/M2 type macrophages.
Cheng et al. reported that human macrophages showed an inflammatory cytokine storm when stimulated with high level HBV, while the hepatocytes sensed HBV DNA poorly. Other in vitro studies demonstrated that HBV antigens (e.g., HBV envelop protein, PreS and HBV core antigen) were able to induce cytokine secretion in monocytes and MDMs after binding to the receptors (see below).

**M1 and M2 macrophages involved in HBV infection**

As a major source of cytokines and immune regulators, macrophages are involved in HBV infection in at least two aspects: (1) antiviral effects, mainly mediated by M1 type molecules (Fig. 2a); and (2) immunotolerance, mediated by M2 type molecules (Fig. 2b).

**Antiviral effects**

Activation of M1-type macrophages and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines usually indicate a robust immune response to HBV infection. CD16+ is one of the M1-like phenotype markers. Zhang’s group investigated 110 hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients and found that the immune-activated group was characterized by lower HBV DNA and that high alanine aminotransferase (ALT) is associated with more CD16+ monocytes and/or macrophages in the peripheral blood and liver, when compared with the immune tolerant group. High level of M1-like CD16+ macrophages was an indicator for immune activation that helped patients to defend against the virus.

**Direct antiviral effects:** Agonists of TLRs and HBV antigens (as discussed above) can induce macrophages to express soluble inflammatory mediators and other effective molecules, which are the major effectors to assume direct antiviral activity of macrophages. With diverse mechanisms, these effective molecules can either control HBV without obvious cytotoxicity or result in injury or apoptosis of the infected hepatocytes.

Type I IFN, for example, one of the key cytokines potently inhibiting HBV replication in hepatocytes, is routinely used in the clinic to treat HBV patients. Despite plenty of work having addressed the anti-HBV mechanisms of type I IFN, there is limited clinical or in vivo evidence for the idea that after the lag phase of HBV replication with negligible release of type I IFN, liver macrophage-synthesized IFN\(\alpha/\beta\) may act as essential controller for HBV. Fortunately, circumstantial evidence is
Nonparenchymal liver cells, Wu et al. have shown that HBV effects of macrophage-derived IFNs. Using the murine in vivo model, they demonstrated the effects of HBV on liver macrophages and subsequently elevated expressions of IFN-α/β/γ. Guidotti et al. used lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) to infect HBV transgenic mice and showed the production of HBV. They found that 3.5- and 2.1-kb HBV mRNAs were decreased or even absent, as well as HBV DNA replication forms. Similar results were found in HBV transgenic mouse model with malaria infection. Recruitment of macrophages and subsequently elevated expressions of IFN-α/β/γ suppressed HBV gene expression and replication in vivo. Thirdly, HBV has evolved some strategies specifically targeting IFN production in KCs, indicating the potential anti-HBV effects of macrophage-derived IFNs. Using the murine nonparenchymal liver cells, Wu’s group demonstrated that hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatitis B e antigen, as well as HBV virion, could suppress TLR3-mediated IFN-α, IFN-γ and IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) production by interfering with the activation of interferon regulatory factor 3 and NF-κB.

Activated macrophages are the major source of TNF-α, which has been identified as a potent anti-HBV molecule. It has been well established that TNF-α production increases in the primary KCs isolated from CHB patients. In vitro studies also demonstrated that the expression levels of TNF-α in primary KCs and PBMCs isolated from CHB patients. Anti-TNF-α therapy in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases was associated with higher risk of HBV activation, reactivation and hepatotoxicity. The fact that TNF-α, as well as the macrophages, is one of the prerequisites for virus clearance and permanent control of HBV.

Other macrophage-derived anti-HBV cytokines include IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-15, and macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), some of which may perform synergistic actions with each other (reviewed in 69). Meanwhile, another group of KCs produced molecules, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS), TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), granzyme B and perforin, may result in injury or apoptosis of the hepatocytes.

**Indirect antiviral effects through recruiting or activating other immune cells:** Liver macrophages synthesize several cytokines and chemokines to activate or recruit virus-infected hepatocytes.

**Immunotolerance/immunosuppressive activity**

Constantly exposed to diverse antigens derived from food or microbial products, the immune cells, in addition to other cells in the liver, develop some mechanisms to prevent excessive activation and continuous pathology, known as the well-known tolerogenic milieu by secreting soluble immunoregulators (e.g., IL-10, TGF-β, and amphiregulin) or expressing inhibitory molecules on the membrane, both of which could be exploited by HBV for their favorable immunosuppressive microenvironment.

For instance, IL-10 could depress inflammation response by inhibiting Type 1 helper cell cytokine expression. An HBV-carrier mouse model showed no significant immune response to hepatitis B surface antigen vaccination, which could be reversed by KC depletion or IL-10 deficiency. Clinical data also revealed an association between CHB and elevated plasma IL-10 level, though it was uncertain whether the increased IL-10 was derived mainly from macrophages or not. Consistently, Li’s group also reported that the increased production of IL-10 by KCs, which was stimulated by HBV core antigen, resulted in inhibition of the antiviral function of CD8+ T cells in mice.

Interestingly, IL-10 gene promoter polymorphisms were reported to be associated with HBV progression. It was reported that murine KCs preferred to produce TGF-β, which is able to restrain immune response and to develop tolerance towards self-antigens, rather than functioning as a
pro-inflammatory cytokine in response to HBV infection. Although the precise mechanism remains unclear, the tolerogenic role of HBV by modulating liver macrophage polarization should not be ignored, since IL-10 and TGF-β are typical cytokines of M2 macrophages. Previous studies also suggested that KCs were primarily immunosuppressive, mediated by prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) (reviewed in13); accordingly, HBV may maintain or even promote this immunosuppressive status by regulating macrophage polarization and/or PGE2 production to benefit its replication.

Bility and colleagues79 found that CHB patients with fibrosis and/or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and patients with acute HBV-associated liver failure experienced a M2 phenotype, including increased M2 macrophages in the liver infiltration and predominate M2-type gene expression profile in the liver. The authors developed a humanized mouse model, supporting HBV replication to investigate the HBV-associated immunopathogenesis. They found that impaired immune response in parallel with robust M2-type macrophage activation in the liver contributed to the development of persistent HBV infection, indicating the M2 macrophages might act as immune suppressors. Nowadays, accumulating evidence indicates that macrophages play an important role in HBV-induced immune suppression, not only in persistent infection establishment but also in the development of the end-stage liver diseases, such as liver fibrosis and HCC.80

Potential role of macrophages in response to IFNα treatment in CHB patients

Type I IFN is still one of the most important therapies for CHB infection or chronic hepatitis C virus (CHC). However, only a subset of the patients respond. Our previous studies showed that cell-type specific ISGs’ expression in the liver predicts whether a given patient will respond to IFN treatment among CHC patients. We analyzed the pre-treatment gene expression in 38 CHB livers by immunohistochemical staining and found that in the treatment responders, increased ISG15 and myxovirus resistance gene (MxA) protein expression was more pronounced in macrophages than in hepatocytes. In contrast, in the non-responders, elevated expression of ISG15 and MxA were more pronounced in hepatocytes compared with that in macrophages. A similar result was found in CHC patients before receiving pegylated-IFN/ribavirin treatment, indicating that the liver macrophages might be involved in mediating patients’ response to IFN and other anti-viral therapy.

Many studies correlated IFN and a subset of typical ISGs (e.g., ISG15, USP18) with macrophage phenotypes and functions. Fleetwood et al.83 reported that the type I IFN signaling pathway played an essential role in regulating phenotype and function of macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF)- or granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-treated bone marrow-derived macrophages in mice. ISG15, a typical ISG, may play an important role in macrophage polarization and function. Macrophage polarization is characterized by mitochondrial functions regulated by different metabolic patterns, and the lack of ISG15 was responsible for mitochondrial dysfunction, including diminished oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), depressed oxygen consumption rate, as well as reduced adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and ROS production in bone marrow-derived macrophages in mice.84 Macrophages from the ISG15-deficient mice have been shown to have depressed phagocytic capacity, which is dependent on protein kinase AKT.85 Moreover, we previously described USP18, another typical ISG, as a modulator of macrophage activity in mice. Compared with wild type control, both primary KCs or peritoneal exudative macrophages (PEMs) from USP18/-/ prefered to polarize to the M2-like phenotype, producing more anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-10 and IL-4) and less inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α and IL-12) in response to murine hepatitis virus (MHV)-3 infection (unpublished data).

The inflammation microenvironment may be changed by macrophages, influencing the response of hepatocytes to IFN treatment. Our previous study86 found that pre-treatment with TNF-α or LPS led to an IFNα refractory state in human hepatoma cells and primary murine hepatocytes. We have also investigated the response of primary murine hepatocytes to IFNα after co-culturing with the primary murine hepatocytes and the primary murine USP18/-/ (M2 like) or wide type (M1-like) PEMs, using the Transwell co-culture system. We found that hepatocytes co-cultured with USP18/-/ PEMs experienced much higher expression of ISGs (including of ISG15, USP18 and MxA) with IFNα stimulation (unpublished data).

We therefore hypothesize that liver macrophages regulate inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses, contributing to the liver microenvironment and, consequently, alter hepatic response to IFN treatment. However, more in-depth investigations are needed to uncover the underlying molecular mechanism (Fig. 2c).

Effect of HBV on macrophage phenotype

The distinct roles of M1 and M2 macrophages involved in HBV infection raise the possibility that HBV may promote M2 polarization of macrophages to impair the Type 1 T helper cell immune response, resulting in persistent infection and disease progression. A most recent study87 supported the hypothesis that HBV suppresses M1 macrophage cytokine (IL-6 and IL-1β) expression and promotes M2 macrophage cytokine (IL-10) expression to favor HBV infection. Although the precise mechanism remains unclear, several in vitro studies have revealed that either hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis B e antigen may make a contribution. Expression of M1-type cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-8, was inhibited by hepatitis B surface antigen in PBMCs,19 while the expression of IL-10 was not affected or even promoted.19,88 Similar results were observed in THP-1-derived macrophages: hepatitis B surface antigen acted as a potent suppressor of M1-type cytokines, including IL-12, TNF-α, IL-18 and IL-6.88-90 Moreover, Yu et al.91 reported a decreased IL-1β secretion in liver macrophages induced by hepatitis B e antigen. However, it has been reported that pro-inflammatory macrophages and monocytes expressing TNF-α and GM-CSF accumulated in chronic HBV or HCV-related liver disease.92 Moreover, high level of IL-23 (as well as IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-17) expression in liver inflammatory macrophages was demonstrated to be associated with HCC development.93 These contradictory lines of evidence have indicated the complicated association between different macrophage phenotypes and disease progression of hepatitis B virus infection (Fig. 2d). In addition, the microenvironment altered by HBV infection may also contribute to the activation, differentiation and polarization of macrophages (Fig. 2e).
Although our knowledge about the exact immunological pathogenesis during chronic HBV infection is limited, the remarkable heterogeneity of liver macrophages concerning not only the defense but also the homeostasis and metabolism make it a promising option for treating HBV infection. The activated liver macrophages, on one hand, enforce virus clearance by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines targeting hepatocytes to suppress HBV directly or recruiting, interacting or activating other immune cells to get rid of the virus, and on the other hand, modulating immunotolerance as a negative feedback to avoid unchecked inflammation. This functional diversity or contrary action makes it possible that liver macrophages may be exploited by HBV.

HBV is a stealth virus which "hides" itself in the early stage of life cycle to escape macrophage defenses, and may then manipulate the polarization/phenotype of macrophages to benefit its persistent infection. It is important to note that the response of liver macrophages to HBV infection is not only limited to innate defense but also links the innate immunity with acquired immunity. However, whether macrophages resolve virus, worsen liver immunopathogenesis, or persist monocyte infection or modulate the response to IFN-α therapy depends on a combination of various factors and is finely tuned. This is indeed a complicated process and the interaction between macrophages and HBV deserves further study.
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